

On McMillan's theorem about uniquely decipherable codes

Stephan Foldes

Tampere University of Technology

PL 553, 33101 Tampere, Finland

sf@tut.fi

19 June 2008

Abstract

Karush's proof of McMillan's theorem is recast as an argument involving polynomials with non-commuting indeterminates certain evaluations of which yield the Kraft sums of codes, proving a strengthened version of McMillan's theorem.

Let $\text{len} : A^* \longrightarrow \mathbb{N}$ be the length function on the free monoid of all strings over a given non-empty finite set A . Let $\text{con} : A^{**} \longrightarrow A^*$ be the concatenation map which to every string of strings associates their concatenation. A *uniquely decipherable code* is a finite set $C \subseteq A^*$ such that con is injective on the submonoid C^* of A^{**} . This submonoid is then isomorphic to the submonoid $\overline{C} = \text{con}[C^*]$ of A^* freely generated by C . Denoting by r the number of elements of the *alphabet* A , the *Kraft sum* $K(C)$ of any finite $C \subseteq A^*$ is defined as $\sum_{x \in C} r^{-\text{len}(x)}$. In [M] McMillan showed that if C is a uniquely decipherable code, then its Kraft sum is at most 1. The proof usually given is that of Karush [K]. This proof can be recast as an argument involving evaluations of polynomials with non-commuting indeterminates corresponding to the various (infinitely many) strings in A^* , as follows.

Let $\mathbb{R}\langle A^* \rangle$ be the free associative $\mathbb{R}$ -algebra generated by the elements of A^* considered as indeterminates, i.e. $\mathbb{R}\langle A^* \rangle$ is the non-commutative ring of formal polynomials with real coefficients in the non-commuting indeterminates $x \in A^*$. For $w = (x_1, \dots, x_n)$ in A^{**} let $P(w)$ denote the monomial $x_1 \cdot \dots \cdot x_n$ in $\mathbb{R}\langle A^* \rangle$.

Let C and D be finite uniquely decipherable codes over a non-empty finite alphabet A with r elements, and suppose that $C \subseteq \overline{D}$. The Kraft sum $K(C)$ of C is then the evaluation of the polynomial $\sum_{x \in C} x$ at $x := r^{-\text{len}(x)}$ for $x \in A^*$.

Fix a positive integer k . For any positive integer l , partition the set D^l into two disjoint sets:

$$W_{l1} = \{w \in D^l : \text{con}(w) \in \text{con}[C^k]\}$$

$$W_{l2} = D^l \setminus W_{l1}$$

For every l the polynomial

$$\left(\sum_{x \in D} x \right)^l = \sum_{w \in D^l} P(w) \quad (1)$$

is equal to the sum

$$\sum_{w \in W_{l1}} P(w) + \sum_{w \in W_{l2}} P(w) \quad (2)$$

Let m be the largest integer n with $C \cap \text{con}[D^n] \neq \emptyset$. Then the polynomial

$$\sum_{l=k}^{mk} \left(\sum_{x \in D} x \right)^l = \sum_{l=k}^{mk} \sum_{w \in D^l} P(w) \quad (3)$$

is the sum of

$$\sum_{l=k}^{mk} \sum_{w \in W_{l1}} P(w) \quad (4)$$

and

$$\sum_{l=k}^{mk} \sum_{w \in W_{l2}} P(w) \quad (5)$$

Let $I(C, D)$ be the ideal of $\mathbb{R}\langle A^* \rangle$ generated by the polynomials $x - P(w)$ for $x \in C, w \in D^*, x = \text{con}(w)$. Modulo this ideal, (4) is congruent to

$$\left(\sum_{x \in C} x \right)^k \quad (6)$$

The homomorphism $\mathbb{R}\langle A^* \rangle \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}$ evaluating each polynomial at $x := r^{-len(x)}$ is null on the ideal $I(C, D)$ and therefore the evaluation of (3) equals

the sum of the evaluations of (5) and (6). The evaluation of (5) being non-negative, the evaluation of (6) is at most the evaluation of (3). For the Kraft sums $K(C)$ and $K(D)$ this means that

$$K(C)^k \leq \sum_{l=k}^{mk} K(D)^l \quad (7)$$

Applying this to $D = A^1$, as $C \subseteq \overline{A^1}$ and obviously $K(A^1) = 1$, we obtain

$$K(C)^k \leq mk - k + 1 \leq mk$$

and hence $K(C)^k \leq 1$ and $K(C) \leq 1$ for all uniquely decipherable codes C . This holds for all $k \geq 1$. Recombining this with (7), letting C and D be arbitrary finite uniquely decipherable codes with $C \subseteq \overline{D}$, and using now the knowledge that $K(D) \leq 1$, we obtain

$$K(C)^k \leq \sum_{l=k}^{mk} K(D)^l \leq mk \cdot K(D)^k \quad (8)$$

Recall that the definition of m is independent of the choice of k . Thus (8), being true for all $k \geq 1$, yields the inequality $K(C) \leq K(D)$:

Extended McMillan Theorem *If C and D are uniquely decipherable codes over the same alphabet, such that every string in C is a concatenation of strings in D , then the Kraft sum of C is less than or equal to the Kraft sum of D .*

This statement clearly includes the classical McMillan Theorem, corresponding to the case where D consists of all strings of length 1.

References

- [K] J. Karush, A simple proof of an inequality of McMillan, IRE Trans. Information Theory IT-7 (1961) 118-118
- [M] B. McMillan, Two inequalities implied by unique decipherability, IRE Trans. Information Theory IT-2 (1956) 115-116